# Eswatini

FP2030 Indicator Summary Sheet: 2022 Measurement Report

methods of contracept 0,000   140,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.9%   49.9% estimated to have an u 2.5%   12.0% estimated to have thei 9.6%   80.6%  1013   2014 methods of contracept 0,000   81,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 1.8%   62.7% estimated to have an u 7.5%   16.8% estimated to have thei 8.0%   78.8%  1013   2014 methods of contracept 8,000   64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8,6%   39.5% estimated to have an u 3,000   64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8,6%   39.5% estimated to have an u 3,3%   8.0% estimated to have an u 3,3%   8.0% estimated to have thei 2.2%   83.3%	140,000  50.6%  Inmet need for mo 11.8%  r demand for famil 81.1%  2015  Identify the state of t	11.7% ly planning met v 81.5%  2016  84,000  64.0%  dern methods o 16.0%	11.5% with a modern me 81.7%  2017  87,000  64.7% f contraception ( 15.6%	11.4% ethod of contrac 82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4%  mUN)  15.3%	82.3% 2019 90,000 65.8% 14.9%	2020 160,000 53.0% 11.1% 82.6% 2020 93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	2021  160,000  53.3%  11.0%  82.9%  2021  95,000  66.6%  14.3%  82.3%	2022 160,000 53.5% 10.8% 83.1% 2022 97,000 67.0% 13.9% 82.8%
0,000	140,000  50.6%  Inmet need for mo 11.8%  r demand for famil 81.1%  2015  Ion 63.5%  Inmet need for mo 16.4%  r demand for famil 79.4%  2015  Ion 65,000  40.1%  Inmet need for mo Ion Ion Ion Ion Ion Ion Ion Ion Ion Io	51.1% odern methods of 11.7% ly planning met v 81.5%  2016  84,000  64.0% odern methods of 16.0% ly planning met v 80.1%  2016  65,000	51.5% f contraception (	52.1% mUN)  11.4% ethod of contrac 82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4% mUN)  15.3% ethod of contrac 81.0%	52.6%  11.3% eption (mDS)  82.3%  2019  90,000  65.8%  14.9% eption (mDS)  81.5%	53.0% 11.1% 82.6% 2020 93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	53.3% 11.0% 82.9% 2021 95,000 66.6% 14.3% 82.3%	53.5% 10.8% 83.1% 2022 97,000 67.0%
prevalence rate (mCP)  8.9%   49.9%  estimated to have an u  2.5%   12.0%  estimated to have their  9.6%   80.6%  1013   2014  methods of contracept  9,000   81,000  prevalence rate (mCP)  1.8%   62.7%  estimated to have an u  7.5%   16.8%  estimated to have their  8.0%   78.8%  1013   2014  methods of contracept  8,000   64,000  prevalence rate (mCP)  8.6%   39.5%  estimated to have an u  1.3%   8.0%  estimated to have their	50.6% Inmet need for mo 11.8% In demand for famil 81.1%  2015 Identified the state of the state	51.1% odern methods of 11.7% ly planning met v 81.5%  2016  84,000  64.0% odern methods of 16.0% ly planning met v 80.1%  2016  65,000	51.5% f contraception (	52.1% mUN)  11.4% ethod of contrac 82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4% mUN)  15.3% ethod of contrac 81.0%	52.6%  11.3% eption (mDS)  82.3%  2019  90,000  65.8%  14.9% eption (mDS)  81.5%	53.0% 11.1% 82.6% 2020 93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	53.3% 11.0% 82.9% 2021 95,000 66.6% 14.3% 82.3%	53.5% 10.8% 83.1% 2022 97,000 67.0%
8.9%	50.6% Inmet need for mo 11.8% In demand for famil 81.1%  2015 Identified the state of the state	11.7%     11.7%	f contraception (	mUN)  11.4% ethod of contract 82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4%  mUN)  15.3% ethod of contract 81.0%	11.3% eption (mDS) 82.3%  2019  90,000 65.8%  14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	11.1% 82.6% 2020 93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	11.0% 82.9% 2021 95,000 66.6% 14.3% 82.3%	10.8% 83.1% 2022 97,000 67.0%
estimated to have an u 2.5%   12.0% estimated to have their 9.6%   80.6%  1013   2014 methods of contracept 9,000   81,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 1.8%   62.7% estimated to have an u 7.5%   16.8% estimated to have their 8.0%   78.8%  1013   2014 methods of contracept 8,000   64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6%   39.5% estimated to have an u 3.3%   8.0% estimated to have an u 3.3%   8.0% estimated to have an u 3.3%   8.0% estimated to have their	11.8% r demand for famil 81.1%  2015  ion 83,000 63.5% nmet need for mo 16.4% r demand for famil 79.4%  2015  ion 65,000 40.1% nmet need for mo	11.7%     11.7%	f contraception (	mUN)  11.4% ethod of contract 82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4%  mUN)  15.3% ethod of contract 81.0%	11.3% eption (mDS) 82.3%  2019  90,000 65.8%  14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	11.1% 82.6% 2020 93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	11.0% 82.9% 2021 95,000 66.6% 14.3% 82.3%	10.8% 83.1% 2022 97,000 67.0%
2.5% 12.0% estimated to have their 9.6% 80.6%  2013 2014 methods of contracept 9,000 81,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 1.8% 62.7% estimated to have an ur 7.5% 16.8% estimated to have their 8.0% 78.8%  2013 2014 methods of contracept 9,000 64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an ur 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an ur 8.3% 8.0% estimated to have an ur 8.3% 8.0% estimated to have their	11.8% r demand for famil 81.1%  2015  ion 83,000 63.5% nmet need for mo 16.4% r demand for famil 79.4%  2015 ion 65,000 40.1% nmet need for mo	11.7%   y planning met v   81.5%   2016   84,000   64.0%   odern methods of 16.0%   y planning met v   80.1%   2016   65,000	11.5% with a modern me 81.7%  2017  87,000 64.7% f contraception ( 15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	11.4% ethod of contrac 82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4%  mUN)  15.3% ethod of contrac 81.0%	eption (mDS) 82.3% 2019 90,000 65.8% 14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	82.6%  2020  93,000  66.2%  14.6%  81.9%	82.9%  2021  95,000  66.6%  14.3%  82.3%	83.1% 2022 97,000 67.0% 13.9%
estimated to have their 9.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.6% 80.00 81,000 81,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 1.8% 62.7% 80.0% 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80.00 80	2015	y planning met v 81.5%  2016  84,000 64.0%  dern methods o 16.0%  ly planning met v 80.1%  2016  65,000	81.7%  2017  87,000  64.7% f contraception ( 15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4%  mUN)  15.3% ethod of contract  81.0%	eption (mDS) 82.3% 2019 90,000 65.8% 14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	82.6%  2020  93,000  66.2%  14.6%  81.9%	82.9%  2021  95,000  66.6%  14.3%  82.3%	83.1% 2022 97,000 67.0% 13.9%
80.6%   80.6%	81.1%  2015  ion  83,000  63.5%  Inmet need for mo  16.4%  r demand for famil  79.4%  2015  ion  65,000  40.1%  Inmet need for mo  Inmet need for	81.5%  2016  84,000  64.0%  dern methods of 16.0%  ly planning met via 80.1%  2016  65,000	81.7%  2017  87,000  64.7% f contraception (  15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	82.0%  2018  89,000  65.4%  mUN)  15.3% ethod of contract  81.0%	82.3%  2019  90,000  65.8%  14.9%  eption (mDS)  81.5%	93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	95,000 66.6% 14.3%	97,000 67.0%
methods of contracept 0,000 81,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 1.8% 62.7% estimated to have an u 7.5% 16.8% estimated to have their 8.0% 78.8%  1013 2014 methods of contracept 8,000 64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an u 3.3% 8.0% estimated to have their	2015 ion  83,000  63.5% inmet need for mo  16.4% r demand for famil  79.4%  2015 ion  65,000  40.1% inmet need for mo	2016  84,000  64.0%  odern methods of 16.0%  ly planning met vi 80.1%  2016  65,000	2017  87,000  64.7% f contraception (  15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	2018 89,000 65.4% (mUN) 15.3% ethod of contract 81.0%	90,000 65.8% 14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	95,000 66.6% 14.3%	97,000 67.0%
methods of contracept 0,000   81,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 1.8%   62.7% estimated to have an u 7.5%   16.8% estimated to have thei 3.0%   78.8%  2013   2014 methods of contracept 3,000   64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 3.6%   39.5% estimated to have an u 3.3%   8.0% estimated to have thei	63.5%  anmet need for mo  16.4%  r demand for famil  79.4%  2015  cion  65,000  40.1%  anmet need for mo	84,000 64.0% bdern methods o 16.0% ly planning met v 80.1% 2016 65,000	87,000 64.7% f contraception ( 15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	89,000 65.4% mUN) 15.3% ethod of contrac 81.0%	90,000 65.8% 14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	93,000 66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	95,000 66.6% 14.3%	97,000 67.0% 13.9%
1,000	83,000 63.5% Inmet need for mo 16.4% r demand for famil 79.4% 2015 Identification 65,000 40.1% Inmet need for mo	64.0%  odern methods of 16.0%  ly planning met vi 80.1%  2016  65,000	64.7% f contraception ( 15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	65.4%   mUN)   15.3%   ethod of contract   81.0%	65.8% 14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	66.6%	67.0%
prevalence rate (mCP)  1.8%   62.7%  estimated to have an u  7.5%   16.8%  estimated to have their  8.0%   78.8%  1013   2014  methods of contracept  8,000   64,000  prevalence rate (mCP)  8.6%   39.5%  estimated to have an u  8.3%   8.0%  estimated to have their	63.5% Inmet need for mo 16.4% In demand for family 79.4%  2015 Identify 65,000  40.1% Inmet need for mo	64.0%  odern methods of 16.0%  ly planning met vi 80.1%  2016  65,000	64.7% f contraception ( 15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	65.4%   mUN)   15.3%   ethod of contract   81.0%	65.8% 14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	66.2% 14.6% 81.9%	66.6%	67.0%
1.8% 62.7% estimated to have an u 7.5% 16.8% estimated to have thei 8.0% 78.8%  1013 2014 methods of contracept 8,000 64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an u 8.3% 8.0% estimated to have thei	63.5% Inmet need for mo 16.4% In demand for family 79.4%  2015 Identify 65,000  40.1% Inmet need for mo	16.0%   16.0%   18.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0	f contraception (	mUN) 15.3% ethod of contrac 81.0%	14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	14.6% 81.9%	14.3%	13.9%
estimated to have an u 7.5% 16.8% estimated to have thei 8.0% 78.8%  1013 2014 methods of contracept 8,000 64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an u 8.3% 8.0% estimated to have thei	16.4% r demand for famil 79.4%  2015  cion 65,000  40.1%  anmet need for mo	16.0%   16.0%   18.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0%   19.0	f contraception (	mUN) 15.3% ethod of contrac 81.0%	14.9% eption (mDS) 81.5%	14.6% 81.9%	14.3%	13.9%
7.5% 16.8% estimated to have thei 8.0% 78.8%  1013 2014 methods of contracept 8,000 64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an u 6.3% 8.0% estimated to have thei	16.4% r demand for famil 79.4%  2015  ion 65,000  40.1%  anmet need for mo	16.0% ly planning met v 80.1%  2016  65,000	15.6% with a modern me 80.6%	15.3% ethod of contrac 81.0%	eption (mDS) 81.5%	81.9%	82.3%	1
estimated to have thei  8.0% 78.8%  1013 2014  methods of contracept  8,000 64,000  prevalence rate (mCP)  8.6% 39.5%  estimated to have an u  1.3% 8.0%  estimated to have thei	79.4%  2015  ion  65,000  40.1%  annet need for mo	y planning met v 80.1% 2016 65,000	80.6% 2017	ethod of contrac	eption (mDS) 81.5%	81.9%	82.3%	1
8.0% 78.8%  2013 2014  methods of contracept 8,000 64,000  prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5%  estimated to have an u 8.0%  estimated to have their	79.4%  2015  cion 65,000  40.1%  anmet need for mo	2016 65,000	2017	81.0%	81.5%	I		82.8%
methods of contracept 3,000   64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6%   39.5% estimated to have an u 3.3%   8.0% estimated to have their	2015 ion 65,000 40.1% unmet need for mo	<b>2016</b> 65,000	2017	1	1	I		82.8%
methods of contracept 3,000   64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6%   39.5% estimated to have an u 3.3%   8.0% estimated to have their	65,000 40.1%	65,000		2018	2019	2020	2021	
8,000 64,000 prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an u 8.3% 8.0% estimated to have their	65,000 40.1%		65,000	1				2022
prevalence rate (mCP) 8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an u 3.3% 8.0% estimated to have their	40.1%		65,000					ı
8.6% 39.5% estimated to have an u 3.3% 8.0% estimated to have their	40.1%	40.40/		66,000	66,000	67,000	69,000	70,000
estimated to have an u 8.3% 8.0% estimated to have their	nmet need for mo	40.40/		'	,			
8.3% 8.0% estimated to have their	1	40.4%	40.6%	41.0%	41.2%	41.4%	41.6%	41.8%
estimated to have thei	7 9%	dern methods o	f contraception (	mUN)				
	-	7.9%	7.9%	7.8%	7.8%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%
2.2% 83.3%	r demand for famil	ly planning met v	1	ethod of contrac	eption (mDS)			1
	83.4%	83.5%	83.5%	83.7%	83.7%	84.0%	84.1%	84.2%
Contraceptive Us	se among All V	Vomen						
2013 2014	2015	2016	2017	2019	2010	2020	2021	2022
		1	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
_	1	1	54 000	55,000	55,000	57 000	58 000	59,000
·			7 .,555	1 00,000	1 55,555	0.,000	55,555	
,900 5,100	5,200	5,200	5,300	5,400	5,500	5,600	5,700	5,800
	ern contraceptive u	1 .		'	'		•	
130 130	130	140	140	140	140	140	150	150
(CVDc)						Color to to the		
1	2044	2015	2016	2017	2010	1	1	e provision dat
2013			2010	2017	2016	2019	2020	2021
eg ),C ns ,90	nancies averted due 100   51,000 10 averted due to mod 100   5,100 100 averted due to mod 100   130    CYPs   130	nancies averted due to modern contract           100         51,000         52,000           100         5,000         5,200           100         5,100         5,200           100         3,200         3,200           100         3,200         3,200           100         130         130           100         130         130	nancies averted due to modern contraceptive use	nancies averted due to modern contraceptive use           100         51,000         52,000         53,000         54,000           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300           100         130         130         140         140           100         130         130         140         140	nancies averted due to modern contraceptive use           100         51,000         52,000         53,000         54,000         55,000           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300         5,400           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300         5,400           100         130         130         140         140         140           100         130         130         140         140         140	nancies averted due to modern contraceptive use       100     51,000     52,000     53,000     54,000     55,000     55,000       100     5,100     5,200     5,200     5,300     5,400     5,500       100     5,100     5,200     5,200     5,300     5,400     5,500       100     130     130     140     140     140     140       100     130     130     140     140     140     140	nancies averted due to modern contraceptive use       100     51,000     52,000     53,000     54,000     55,000     55,000     57,000       100     5,100     5,200     5,200     5,300     5,400     5,500     5,600       100     5,100     5,200     5,200     5,300     5,400     5,500     5,600       100     130     130     140     140     140     140     140     140       100     130     130     140     140     140     140     140     140     140       100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100     100 <t< th=""><th>nancies averted due to modern contraceptive use           100         51,000         52,000         53,000         54,000         55,000         57,000         58,000           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300         5,400         5,500         5,600         5,700           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300         5,400         5,500         5,600         5,700           100         130         130         140         140         140         140         140         150    CYPS)  Calculated from routine service</th></t<>	nancies averted due to modern contraceptive use           100         51,000         52,000         53,000         54,000         55,000         57,000         58,000           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300         5,400         5,500         5,600         5,700           100         5,100         5,200         5,200         5,300         5,400         5,500         5,600         5,700           100         130         130         140         140         140         140         140         150    CYPS)  Calculated from routine service

# Contraceptive Use, Availability, and Discontinuation by Method

Modern Contracep	tive Method Mix		
	Sterilization (female)	3.8%	Source
Long-Acting and Permanent	Sterilization (male)	0.0%	2014 MICS
Methods	IUD	0.5%	
	Implant	4.9%	
	Injectable	31.8%	
	Pill	12.3%	Population
Chart Tarra	Condom (Male)	45.6%	All Women
Short-Term Methods	Condom (Female)	0.9%	
Wictious	LAM	0.0%	
	Standard Days method	0.0%	
	Other Modern Methods	0.2%	

assessment		
Long-Acting and Permanent Methods	Sterilization (female)	 Source
	Sterilization (male)	 
	IUD	 _
Wicthods	Implant	 _
	Injectable	 _
	Pill	  Year
Short-Term	Condom (Male)	 
Methods	Condom (Female)	 _
	Emergency Contraception	 _
	Other modern methods	 _

	Value	Source	Year
Percentage of primary SDPs that have at least 3 modern methods of contraception available on day of	of assessment		
Percentage of secondary/tertiary SDPs with at least 5 modern methods of contraception available on	day of assessment		

Contraceptive Discontinuation Rates and Method Switching (first 12 months)**								
	IUD	Implant	Injectable	Pill	Condom (male)	Source		
Discontinuation while in need								
Discontinuation while not in need						<del></del>		
Total discontinuation (all reasons)								
Switching to a different method								

## **Contraceptive Services and Decision-Making**

	Value	Source	Population
Method Information Index**			,
Percentage of women who received family planning information during a contact with a health service	ce provider **		
Percent of current modern contraceptive users who last obtained their family planning method from	each source (All Methods)		
Public			
Private Medical			
Other			
Percentage of women who decided to use family planning alone or jointly with their husbands/partn	ers		,

# Investment in Family Planning

	Value	Source	Year
Annual expenditure on family planning from government domestic budget (USD \$)			

## **Traditional Contraceptive Use**

Source : Family Planning Estimation Tool (FPET)

Traditional Contract	eptive ose did no	t meet me report	ing threshold (to	r uniong wunte	i vvoilleli 23% ili	2022) unu 30 is i	iot reported joi ti	nis country.		
2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
All Women										
Married Women										
<b>Unmarried Women</b>	Unmarried Women									

#### Notes

- --- indicates no data post 2012 was available
- \* Total Users among Married Women and Unmarried Women will not sum exactly to the Total Users among All Women
  \*\* Additional diaggregation or details available in the full data set.



