Lao PDR

FP2030 Indicator Summary Sheet: 2023 Measurement Report

490,000 eptive prevaler 28.8%	29.6% ed to have an u	2015 ion* 540,000	2016							
490,000 eptive prevaler 28.8% omen estimate 16.1% omen estimate	520,000 nce rate (mCP) 29.6% ed to have an u 15.8%	ı		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
eptive prevaler 28.8% omen estimate 16.1% omen estimate	29.6% ed to have an u	540,000	•	,	'	,	'	•	,	
28.8% omen estimate 16.1% omen estimate	29.6% ed to have an u		560,000	590,000	610,000	630,000	650,000	670,000	690,000	700,000
omen estimate 16.1% omen estimate	ed to have an u									
16.1% omen estimate	15.8%	30.4%	31.1%	ds of contracen	32.3% tion (mUN)	32.8%	33.2%	33.8%	34.2%	34.4%
omen estimate		ı	I	1		44.00/	44.00/	10.00/	40.50/	40.00/
1	ed to have their	15.4%	15.0%	14.6%	14.4%	14.2%	14.0%	13.8%	13.5%	13.3%
64.1%		demand for fa	mily planning m	net with a mode	rn method of co	ontraception (m	iDS)			
	65.3%	66.3%	67.4%	68.3%	69.1%	69.8%	70.3%	71.2%	71.7%	72.2%
2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
odern methods	s of contracepti	ion								
470,000	500,000	520,000	540,000	570,000	590,000	610,000	620,000	640,000	660,000	670,000
eptive prevalei	nce rate (mCP)									
45.7%	46.9%	48.2%	49.3%	50.4%	51.4%	52.1%	52.8%	53.6%	54.2%	54.7%
		I	I	1			l	1		
24.8%	24.2%	23.5%	22.8%	22.1%	21.7%	21.4%	21.1%	20.7%	20.3%	20.1%
omen estimate	ed to have their	demand for fa	mily planning m	net with a mode	rn method of co	ontraception (m	iDS)			
64.7%	65.9%	67.2%	68.3%	69.4%	70.2%	70.9%	71.3%	72.1%	72.8%	73.3%
2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
odern method	s of contracepti	ion								
19,000	20,000	22,000	23,000	24,000	25,000	25,000	26,000	27,000	27,000	28,000
eptive prevaler	nce rate (mCP)									
2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	3.2%	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	3.5%	3.5%
1		ı	ı	1		I	I		I	l
				1	l .			3.0%	2.9%	2.8%
1		l	1	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	ı
51.3%	51.1%	51.1%	50.9%	51.0%	51.8%	52.7%	53.7%	54.2%	55.0%	55.9%
dern Contra	aceptive Us	e among Al	l Women							
2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
	-			2017	1 2010	2013	2020	2021	2022	
		l								
		· ·		210,000	220,000	230,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	250,000
				1	ı	ı	ı		I	ı
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				56,000	58,000	60,000	62,000	64,000	66,000	67,000
i deatiis aveite		100	100	100	110	110	120	120	120	130
90	90							Calculated	n routine service	nrovici 1
	90									DIOVISION OF
tection (CYPs)		2012	3045	3046	2047	3040	2040	1	1	i [']
tection (CYPs)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
tection (CYPs)		2014 	2015 	2016 	2017 	2018	2019	1	1	i [']
tection (CYPs)	2013							2020	2021	2022
tection (CYPs) 2012	2013							2020	2021	2022
tection (CYPs) 2012	2013							2020 	2021 Sou	2022
mes te (per 1000 wo	2013 omen 15-19)							2020	2021 Sou	2022
2012 	2013 omen 15-19)						Va	2020 	2021 Sou 2017	2022
mes te (per 1000 wo	2013 omen 15-19)						Va	2020 silve	2021 Sou 2017	2022 urce MICS
mes te (per 1000 wo	2013 omen 15-19)						Va	2020 	2021 Sou 2017	2022
mes te (per 1000 wo	2013 omen 15-19)						Va	2020 silve	2021 Sou 2017	2022 urce MICS
c c	eptive prevale 45.7% comen estimate 24.8% comen estimate 64.7% 2013 codern method 19,000 eptive prevale 2.9% comen estimate 51.3% codern Contro 2013 led pregnancie 180,000 bortions avert 47,000	eptive prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7% 46.9% omen estimated to have an u 24.8% 24.2% omen estimated to have their 64.7% 65.9% 2013 2014 odern methods of contracept 19,000 20,000 eptive prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% omen estimated to have an u 2.7% 2.8% omen estimated to have heir 51.3% 51.1% dern Contraceptive Us 2013 2014 ded pregnancies averted due 180,000 190,000 bortions averted due to mode 47,000 49,000	pertive prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7% 46.9% 48.2% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7% 46.9% 48.2% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 24.8% 24.2% 23.5% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 29.9% 3.0% 3.1% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% 3.1% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.8% 2.9% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.8% 2.9% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.8% 2.9% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.1% 51.1% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.1% 2.1% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.2% 2.9% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.1% 2.1% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.2% 2.9% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.1% 2.1% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.1% 2.2% 2.9% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% 3.1% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% In the prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% In the prevalence rate (mCP)	eptive prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7%	pertive prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7% 46.9% 48.2% 49.3% 50.4% commen estimated to have an unmet need for modern methods of contracep 24.8% 24.2% 23.5% 22.8% 22.1% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 64.7% 65.9% 67.2% 68.3% 69.4% 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 codern methods of contraception 19,000 20,000 22,000 23,000 24,000 experive prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% 3.1% 3.2% 3.3% commen estimated to have an unmet need for modern methods of contracep 2.7% 2.8% 2.9% 3.1% 3.2% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% commen estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a mode 51.3% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0%	pertive prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7%	eptive prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7%	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	Petitive prevalence rate (mCP) 45.7% 46.9% 48.2% 49.3% 50.4% 51.4% 52.1% 52.8% 53.6% Demander estimated to have an unmet need for modern methods of contraception (mUN) 24.8% 24.2% 23.5% 22.8% 22.1% 21.7% 21.4% 21.1% 20.7% Demander estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a modern method of contraception (mDS) 64.7% 65.9% 67.2% 68.3% 69.4% 70.2% 70.9% 71.3% 72.1% 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Defern methods of contraception 19.000 20,000 22,000 23,000 24,000 25,000 25,000 26,000 27,000 Petitive prevalence rate (mCP) 2.9% 3.0% 3.1% 3.2% 3.3% 3.4% 3.4% 3.4% 3.4% Demander estimated to have an unmet need for modern methods of contraception (mUN) 2.7% 2.8% 2.9% 3.1% 3.2% 3.1% 3.1% 3.0% 3.0% Demander estimated to have their demand for family planning met with a modern method of contraception (mDS) 51.3% 51.1% 51.1% 50.9% 51.0% 51.8% 52.7% 53.7% 54.2% Sern Contraceptive Use among All Women 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Led pregnancies averted due to modern contraceptive use 180,000 190,000 190,000 200,000 210,000 220,000 230,000 230,000 240,000 Detections averted due to modern contraceptive use 47,000 49,000 51,000 54,000 56,000 58,000 60,000 62,000 62,000 64,000	### ### ##############################

Contraceptive Use, Availability, and Discontinuation by Method

Modern Contraceptive Method Mix									
Long-Acting	Sterilization (female)	9.0%	Source						
and	Sterilization (male)	0.1%	2017 MICS						
Permanent	IUD	4.2%							
Methods	Implant	2.8%							
	Injectable	25.5%							
	Pill	55.4%	Population						
	Condom (Male)	3.0%	All Women						
Short-Term Methods	Condom (Female)	0.2%							
Wicthods	LAM	0.0%							
	Standard Days method	0.0%							
	Other Modern Methods	0.0%							

Percentage of facilities stocked out, by method offered, on the day of assessment								
Long-Acting	Sterilization (female)	33.0%	Source					
and	Sterilization (male)	74.0%	UNFPA SDP					
Permanent	IUD	30.0%	Survey					
Methods	Implant	55.0%	_					
	Injectable	8.0%	_					
	Pill	2.0%	 Year					
Short-Term	Condom (Male)	7.0%	2021					
Methods	Condom (Female)	0.0%						
	Emergency Contraception	89.0%	_					
	Other modern methods							

	Value	Source	Year					
Percentage of primary SDPs that have at least 3 modern methods of contraception available on	day of assessment							
	85%	UNFPA SDP Survey	2021					
Percentage of secondary/tertiary SDPs with at least 5 modern methods of contraception available on day of assessment								
	56%	UNFPA SDP Survey	2021					

Contraceptive Discontinuation Rates and Method Switching (first 12 months)**									
	IUD	Implant	Injectable	Pill	Condom (male)	Source			
Discontinuation while in need									
Discontinuation while not in need									
Total discontinuation (all reasons)									
Switching to a different method									

Contraceptive Services and Decision-Making

	Value	Source	Population				
Method Information Index**	'						
Percentage of women who received family planning information during a contact with a health service provider **							
Percent of current modern contraceptive users who last obtained their family planning method	from each source (All Method	s) **					
Public							
Private Medical							
Other							
Percentage of women who decided to use family planning alone or jointly with their husbands/	partners **						

Investment in Family Planning

		Value	Source	Year
Annual expenditure on family planning from government domestic budget (USD \$)	,			
	Ś	587.676	FPSA	2022

Traditional Contraceptive Use

Source : Family Planning Estimation Tool (FPET)

Traditional Contraceptive Use met the reporting threshold (tCP among Married Women > 5% in 2022).

Traditional Contraceptive use met the reporting threshold (ICP among Married Women > 5% in 2022).											
2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
All Women				•	•						
3.8%	3.9%	3.9%	4.0%	3.9%	4.0%	3.9%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
Married Women											
6.2%	6.3%	6.3%	6.4%	6.3%	6.4%	6.3%	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%	6.3%
Unmarried Wome	n										
0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%

Notes

- --- indicates no data post 2012 was available
- * Total Users among Married Women and Unmarried Women will not sum exactly to the Total Users among All Women
- ** Additional diaggregation or details available in the full data set.

